

The two types of Cherenkov gluons at LHC energies

I.M. Dremin

Lebedev Physical Institute, Moscow
dremin@td.lpi.ru

Abstract

Beside comparatively low energy Cherenkov gluons observed at RHIC, there could be high energy gluons at LHC, related to the high energy region of positive real part of the forward scattering amplitude. In both cases they give rise to particles emitted along some cone. The characteristics of the cones produced by these two types of gluons are different. Therefore different experiments are needed to detect them. The cosmic ray event which initiated this idea is described in detail.

RHIC experiments [1, 2] have shown the two-bump structure of the azimuthal angle distribution near the away-side jets. This can be interpreted as the emission of Cherenkov gluons [3, 4, 5, 6]. The notion of Cherenkov gluons was first introduced in [7, 8] to explain some cosmic ray data [9].

Analogous to Cherenkov photons, the Cherenkov gluons can be emitted in hadronic collisions provided the nuclear index of refraction n exceeds 1. The partons moving in such nuclear medium would emit them. These gluons should be emitted at the cone surface with the cone angle θ in the **rest system** of the **infinite** medium defined by the relation

$$\cos \theta = \frac{1}{\beta n}, \quad (1)$$

where β is the ratio of the velocities of the parton-emitter and light which can be replaced by 1 for relativistic partons.

The gluon propagation in a nuclear medium can be described by the nuclear index of refraction. It is well known [10] that the excess $\Delta n_R(E)$ of n over 1 is proportional to the real part of the forward scattering amplitude $\text{Re}F(E)$ and to the number of scatterers ν . It must be positive for Cherenkov gluons to be emitted:

$$\Delta n_R(E) = n - 1 \propto \nu(E)\text{Re}F(E) > 0. \quad (2)$$

Here we must use the forward scattering amplitude of a gluon propagating in strong fields of the quark-gluon medium formed in heavy-ion collision. QCD estimates of $F(E)$ are rather indefinite. Therefore, we have to rely on general properties of hadronic reactions known from experiment. According to our knowledge about hadronic reactions, $\text{Re}F(E)$ is positive for any colliding hadrons either within the lower wings of the Breit-Wigner resonances¹ or at very high energies [12, 13]. Jet energies available in RHIC experiments [1, 2] are sufficient only for emission of gluons with rather low energy. The resonance production is the common property of hadronic interactions at low energies. Thus one can hope that the same feature is valid for gluons as the carriers of strong forces. Therefore, we attribute the above mentioned specifics of RHIC data to resonance effects as discussed in [3].

¹At the maximum of the resonance $\text{Re}F(E_R) = 0$ as seen below in Eq. (3). In electrodynamics this is used, in particular, to solve the problem of abundance of elements in the Universe [11].

The energies of colliding partons at LHC are much higher. They are sufficient for emission of high energy Cherenkov gluons. Therefore, one could await for two types of Cherenkov gluons produced corresponding to these low and high energy regions. At LHC these effects can be observed, correspondingly, in trigger and inclusive experiments as argued below. Namely this idea about high energy Cherenkov gluons was promoted for explanation of peculiar features of the ring-like particle distribution in a cosmic ray event with energy close to those of LHC [7].

Let us explain it again in more detail here. In distinction to "in-vacuum" jets described by DGLAP equations, the collective effects of gluon emission can be pronounced in a medium. An initial parton of the impinging nucleus enters the medium (another nucleus) and emits gluons with some energy spectrum. According to Eq. (2) the nuclear index of refraction depends on energy. In those regions of the spectrum, where this index of refraction n exceeds 1, the Cherenkov mechanism of gluon emission can be at work. Since QGP is considered as a medium filled mostly by gluons one can say that the energies of emitted Cherenkov gluons should belong to those regions where the real part of the forward scattering amplitude of the Cherenkov gluon and its partner in the medium is positive. E.g., this happens if the two gluons (subject to strong but soft surrounding fields!) have relative energy which fits the ρ -meson's left wing. The collective properties of gluons in sQGP determine that these Cherenkov gluons should be emitted at a definite polar angle and hadronize forming ρ -mesons by their convolution with a "gluon" of the medium.

We do not require ρ -mesons or other resonances pre-exist in the medium but imply that they are the modes of its excitation formed due to the hadronization properties of partons. The Cherenkov gluon emission is a collective response of the quark-gluon medium to impinging partons related to its hadronization.

According to Eq. (1) the ring-like distribution of particles must be observed in the plane perpendicular to the momentum of the parton-emitter. If projected on the ring diameter, it results in the two-bump structure observed at RHIC. In more detail it has been discussed in [3, 4, 5, 6]. Some predictions and proposals are put forward in [14]. Here I describe briefly the conclusions drawn from RHIC experiments and then concentrate on LHC specifics.

RHIC experiments were done with a trigger placed at 90° to the collision axis. It implies that initial colliding partons suffered hard scattering at large angles. Its probability is low but the background is strongly diminished as well. The emission of Cherenkov gluons as the collective response of the medium to propagation of the scattered away-side parton should give rise to the ring-like distribution of particles in the plane perpendicular to the away-side jet axis. This plane is orthogonal both to the collision plane (formed by the collision and trigger jet axes) and to the azimuthal plane. Therefore the ring projection on azimuthal plane used in RHIC experiments which resulted in two peaks in azimuthal angles is not at all the optimum one. It would be better to use the away-side jet direction as z -axis and plot the traditional pseudorapidity distribution. RHIC data show the distance between the peaks defined in angular variables ($\theta = D$ in PHENIX notations). Herefrom one gets according to Eq. (1) the nuclear index of refraction. Its value is found to be quite large $n = 3$ compared to usual electromagnetic values close to 1 for gases.

Nevertheless, for preliminary estimates let us use the traditional expressions

[10, 11] of electrodynamics for dilute media. RHIC experiments deal with rather soft gluons because jet energies are typically about several GeV only. Therefore one can replace $\text{Re}F(E)$ in (2) by the sum over the Breit-Wigner resonances (see [3, 6]):

$$\text{Ren}(E) = 1 + \sum_R \frac{2J_R + 1}{(2s_1^R + 1)(2s_2^R + 1)} \frac{6m_\pi^3 \Gamma_R \nu}{EE_R^2} \frac{E_R - E}{(E - E_R)^2 + \Gamma_R^2/4}. \quad (3)$$

Here E_R , Γ_R are the position and the width of the resonance R , J_R , s_1^R , s_2^R are spins of the resonance and its decay products.

The rather high value of n results in the large density of partons in the created quark-gluon system with $\nu \approx 20$ partons within the volume of a single nucleon. The overwhelming contribution in this estimate according to (3) is given by the ρ -meson.

This value of ν really shows that one has to deal not with a gas but with a liquid-like matter. For dense media Δn_R increases faster than linearly with ν . Since n is large the color polarizability α must play an important role in sQGP. One should use the analogue of the electrodynamic formula [10, 11]:

$$\frac{n^2 - 1}{n^2 + 2} = \frac{m_\pi^3 \nu \alpha}{4\pi} = \sum_R \frac{2J_R + 1}{(2s_1^R + 1)(2s_2^R + 1)} \cdot \frac{4m_\pi^3 \Gamma_R \nu}{EE_R^2} \cdot \frac{E_R - E}{(E - E_R)^2 + \Gamma_R^2/4}. \quad (4)$$

From it one gets almost twice smaller value of ν (about 10 partons per nucleon). Still our conclusion about the liquid-like medium is valid but this liquid is not extremely dense.

This value of n is also related to the energy loss of gluons estimated in [6] as

$$\frac{dE}{dx} = 4\pi\alpha_S \int_{E_R - \Gamma_R}^{E_R} E \left(1 - \frac{1}{n^2}\right) dE \approx 1 \text{ GeV/Fm}. \quad (5)$$

The height of the peaks determines the width of the ring. In its turn, it defines the free path length of Cherenkov gluons [6] which is long enough $R_f \sim 7$ Fm. Thus one obtains knowledge about such crucial properties of the hadronic medium as its index of nuclear refraction, density of partons, free path length and energy loss. The unusual particle content within the ring with abundant production of shifted to lower masses and squeezed resonances (mostly ρ -satellites at about 690 MeV) is also predicted. This specific feature can be checked by studying the mass distribution of e^+e^- -pairs in this particular mode of resonance decay (see [14]).

The estimated energy loss is not very high and the free path length is large enough for gluons to hadronize near the surface of the QGP volume. The imaginary part of n is about three times less than its real part if the formula (4) and corresponding expression for $\text{Im}n(E)$ are used:

$$\text{Im}n(E) = \frac{2J + 1}{(2s_1 + 1)(2s_2 + 1)} \frac{3m_\pi^3 \nu}{EE_R^2} \frac{\Gamma_R^2}{(E - E_R)^2 + \Gamma_R^2/4}. \quad (6)$$

This statement can sound strange if compared to the footnote comment. However the main difference is provided by the shift of the maximum for $\text{Ren}(E)$ relative to the usual resonance mass and diminished role of the imaginary part at this

maximum. This favors smaller absorption. That is why we attribute the effect of Cherenkov gluons to hadronization and collective properties of sQGP.

The similar experiments with trigger jets at 90° to the collision axis are possible at LHC. Their results must be the same as RHIC data even for higher energy jets if the parton density does not change with energy. The effect is saturated by low energy resonances and does not depend on jet energy. These trigger experiments require high luminosity because the two jets are produced by the large angle scattering of initial partons with small cross section. The medium is at rest on the average in the c.m.s.. Influence of its internal flows on the cone angle can be accounted [15].

There is no luminosity problem for forward (and backward) moving initial partons. They are much more abundant and able to produce both low and high energy Cherenkov gluons. The background is much larger, however. The medium rest system where Eq. (1) is applicable now is the rest system of one of the colliding partners. Namely in this system the angle of emission of low energy Cherenkov gluons should be about 70° (for $n = 3$) to the collision axis. When transformed to the c.m.s., it is in the deep fragmentation region of pseudorapidity above 8 which is inaccessible for any LHC detector. Thus the large angle trigger experiment is the only way to observe low energy Cherenkov gluons at LHC. The dependence of the Cherenkov cone angle on the angle of the trigger jet would be interesting to measure. It would reveal the motion of the medium as a whole.

The ingenious approach with large angle parton scattering at RHIC was necessary to detect the low energy gluons and diminish the background. At LHC, one can also try to detect Cherenkov effect in inclusive experiments. Any parton moving in the nuclear medium can emit gluons. The partons moving along the collision axis are most abundant and possess highest energies. At LHC they can emit gluons with very high energy. For them the nuclear index of refraction can also exceed 1 [7, 8]. This statement is based on the experimentally found general property of all hadronic reactions supported by the dispersion relations [12, 13] that the real part of the forward scattering amplitude is positive at very high energies. Thus one can await for the high energy Cherenkov gluons emitted. Each of them would produce a jet (in place of a resonance for low energy gluons). The specific feature of these jets would be their tendency to lie on a cone, i.e. to form the ring filled in by "spots" (dense groups of particles) in the plane perpendicular to the collision axis. The ring parameters differ from those for low energy gluons.

The high energy behavior of Δn_R was estimated in [7, 8] purely phenomenologically using various experimental data on hadronic reactions and dispersion relation predictions. The properties of a gluon jet traversing the nuclear medium were identified with properties of hadrons, scattering on hadronic targets. Then the dependence of the nuclear index of refraction on jet energy E_j in the target rest system can be approximated as

$$\Delta n_R(E_j) \approx \frac{a\nu_h}{E_j} \theta(E_j - E_{th}) \quad (7)$$

above some threshold energy E_{th} about 70 - 300 GeV. It implies that only jets with energies exceeding this threshold can be produced according to Cherenkov effect. Here, $a \approx 2 \cdot 10^{-3}$ GeV is a fitted parameter with $\rho(E) \approx 0.1$ and ν_h is the parton

density for high energy region. It can differ from ν used in the low energy region. However these estimates are rather indefinite and it is better to rely on the analysis of the cosmic ray event.

The idea about Cherenkov gluons was used to interpret the cosmic ray event at energy about 10^{16} eV [9] where two rings more densely populated by particles than their surroundings were noticed. This event was registered in the detector with nuclear and X-ray emulsions during the balloon flight at the altitude about 30 km. The most indefinite characteristics of the event is the height H over the detector at which the interaction took place. However, it can be estimated if one assumes that the two rings observed with radii $r_1 = 1.75$ cm and $r_2 = 5$ cm are produced by forward and backward moving (in c.m.s.) partons, correspondingly. Using the transformation of angles from target (t) to c.m.s. (c)

$$\tan \frac{\theta_c}{2} \approx \gamma \theta_t \quad (8)$$

and assuming the symmetry of rings $\theta_{2c} = \pi - \theta_{1c}$, one gets $\theta_{1c} \approx 61^\circ$ and $\gamma \approx 2.3 \cdot 10^3$. The target rest system angle is $\theta_{1t} \approx 2.6 \cdot 10^{-4}$ and the height $H = r_1/\theta_{1t} \approx 68$ m. Both the energy and the height correspond rather well to the experimental estimates [9] recently revised, confirmed and obtained by three different methods [16]. The most reliable of them give height values ranging from 50 m to 100 m. The medium rest system coincides with the target rest system, and the values of emission angles indicate on smaller (than ν) density of scatterers if (7) is used.

Even though the observed angles were quite small in the target rest system, at LHC they would correspond to large c.m.s. angles about $60^\circ - 70^\circ$. The background at LHC was estimated as rather flat according to HIJING model [17]. The peaks in the angular (pseudorapidity) distribution of jets over the background at these angles would be observable. The ring-like and spotty two-dimensional plot leading to such maxima was confirmed by the wavelet analysis of the cosmic ray event [18]. Let us note that some indications on similar effects in hadronic reactions at lower energies were obtained with a special selection of events in the high statistics sample [19] as well as by the wavelet analysis of individual events [20].

In connection with Cherenkov gluons we discussed only those energy regions where $\text{Re}F(E) > 0$. However there exists the transition radiation which can be emitted also in the regions of the negative values of the real part of forward scattering amplitude. It was argued in [8] that this effect is negligibly small because it is proportional to Δn_R^2 for small Δn_R , i.e. for high energy gluons. However we have found that at rather low energies $n(E)$ can substantially exceed 1. Thus the transition radiation can become also important. This problem has not been solved.

To conclude, we claim that low energy Cherenkov gluons will be observed at LHC in the trigger experiments with trigger jets emitted at large angles to the collision axis analogously to RHIC experiments. Their properties would indicate how the nuclear index of refraction and, consequently, the density of scattering centers (gluons, quarks, vacuum fields ...?) and other characteristics of the nuclear medium change with energy if compared with RHIC data. The dependence of the cone angle on the trigger jet angle would show the effects of the medium motion.

The non-trigger inclusive experiments can reveal the high energy Cherenkov gluons at LHC by observing the excess of jets at fixed and comparatively large

angles in the c.m.s. Such gluons are unobservable at RHIC because of the energy threshold. The energy dependence of main properties of the nuclear medium at very high energies will be demonstrated at LHC.

This work was supported in part by grants RFBR 05-02-39028-GFEN, 06-02-17051 and NNSF of China under project 10475030.

References

- [1] J. Adams et al. (STAR Collaboration), *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95** (2005) 152301.
- [2] S.S. Adler et al. (PHENIX Collaboration), *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **97** (2006) 052301.
- [3] I.M. Dremin, *Nucl. Phys.* **A767** (2006) 233.
- [4] A. Majumder, X.-N. Wang, *Phys. Rev.* **C73** (2006) 051901.
- [5] V. Koch, A. Majumder, X.-N. Wang, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96** (2006) 172302.
- [6] I.M. Dremin, *Nucl. Phys.* **A783** (2007).
- [7] I.M. Dremin, *JETP Lett.* **30** (1979) 140.
- [8] I.M. Dremin, *Sov. J. Nucl. Phys.* **33** (1981) 726.
- [9] A.V. Apanasenko et al, *JETP Lett.* **30** (1979) 145.
- [10] M. Goldberger, K. Watson, *Collision Theory* (John Wiley and Sons Inc., 1964) Ch. 11, sect. 3, sect. 4.
- [11] R.P. Feynman, R.B. Leighton, M. Sands, *The Feynman Lectures in Physics* (Addison-Wesley PC Inc., 1963) vol. 3, ch. 31 and v. 7, ch. 32.
- [12] I.M. Dremin, M.T. Nazirov, *Yad. Fiz* **49** (1989) 1695; *Sov. J. Nucl. Phys.* **49** (1989) 1050.
- [13] M.M. Block, *Phys. Rep.* **436** (2006) 71.
- [14] I.M. Dremin, *J. Phys. G* (2007) (to be published); Proceedings QM2006, Shanghai, China.
- [15] L.M. Satarov, H. Stoecker, I.N. Mishustin, *Phys. Lett.* **B627** (2005) 64.
- [16] A.K. Managadze et al, *Proc. XXIX RCRC*, HE-02, Moscow, 2006; *Sov. J. Nucl. Phys.* **69** (Nov 2006).
- [17] I.M. Dremin, L.I. Sarycheva, K.Yu. Teplov, *Eur. Phys. J.* **C46** (2006) 429.
- [18] N.M.Astafyeva, I.M. Dremin, K.A.Kotelnikov, *Mod.Phys.Lett.* **A12** (1997) 1185.
- [19] N.M. Agababyan et al (NA22), *Phys. Lett.* **B389** (1996) 397.
- [20] I.M. Dremin, O.V. Ivanov, S.A. Kalinin, K.A. Kotelnikov, V.A. Nechitailo, N.G. Polukhina, *Phys. Lett.* **B499** (2001) 97.